

Bristol Fire Facility Building Committee – Meeting Minutes, March 11, 2015 – Town Hall, Bristol, VT

| Agenda Item                               | Notes  |
|---|--|
| Attended by:                              | Matt Lathrop, Liz Hermann, Ed Hanson, Dan Heath, Diane Cushman, Brett LaRose, Bill Elwell, Brian Fox   |
| Meeting called to order                   | 7pm by Co-chair Bill Elwell  |
| Approval of March 5, 2015 Meeting Minutes | <p>Motion made by Brett LaRose, seconded by Matt Lathrop to approve minutes. Liz Hermann noted two corrections that needed to be made:</p> <p>Correction #1: Under Discussion regarding the outside of the building, bullet point #9, change the word “lightening” to “lighting”</p> <p>Correction #2: Under Priorities (#9) change “serving-widow” to serving-window.</p> <p>Matt Lathrop withdrew his second to original motion, Brett LaRose amended original with corrections, seconded by Matt Lathrop, motion passed.</p>                                |
| Other organizational business             | <p>Reminder next fire facility building committee meeting March 22, 2015 @ 2pm Town Hall.</p> <p>Matt Lathrop acquired turn radius of the fire departments largest apparatus, Engine-Tanker and provided a detailed drawing of his findings.</p> <p>Cushman Design Group resumes distributed to committee members.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Milford B. Cushman, President</li> <li>2. Andrew C. Volansky, Architect</li> </ol> <p>Matt Lathrop shared photographs with the committee from his tour of the Williston, VT fire station.</p> |
| Visitor Appointment: Cushman Design Group | <p>Reviewed program to assist architect with preparation for preliminary drawings.</p> <p>Discussed site layout to include possible location where the new fire station would be sited on the lot and where the new Town road will be constructed. There was concerns from committee members regarding the architect’s depiction of how the building would sit on the site. The</p>  |

architect commented that apparatus would face East and exit onto new road turning left and then exit onto West Street. Architect commented that in this configuration apparatus bays would be next to West Street with the remainder of the facility located towards the South side of lot. It was noted that in this configuration travelers passing by the new fire station on West Street will see three sides of the apparatus bay garage. Comment was made by committee member that previously served on the Site Selection Committee that this project is to be a legacy project, a building the community will be proud of for generations and a beacon when travelers enter and exit the Village.

Much discussion around sidewalks and pedestrian crossing to provide safe access to the public building. The committee rated this as very important.

Discussion with the architect regarding a one-story building vs. a two-story building and the architect's reasoning to why have a larger foot print oppose to going up a second story. Architect commented that building will need to fit into surroundings and a two story structure may be out of scale.

Andrew Volansky asked if the committee could provide detailed measurements of apparatus to include the antique fire truck and hose cart. Matt Lathrop and Brett LaRose volunteered for this assignment.

Discussion regarding the building of 3 or 4 apparatus bays. Request is for 4 apparatus bays, 3 of which are drive through bays. During discussion regarding size of apparatus bays architect made comment that the bay depth would be 60' feet. It was pointed out that the 60' depth does not meet the original criteria of apparatus bay depths of 80' to accommodate fire departments longest apparatus parked end to end. Architect commented on the 3 vs. 4 apparatus bays and the increased span and additional higher strength steel that would be

|              |  |
|--------------|--|
|              | <p>needed. Committee member Dan Heath stated many fire stations to include the projects he has worked on have support posts which are used to accommodate other utilities to include necessary air lines and power cords for the apparatus.</p> <p>Brett LaRose expressed an opinion that this project has the feeling of being “squeezed” on the lot. Brian Fox commented as selectboard representative that perhaps additional land can be acquired to not only meet the site requirements of today, but for future expansion.</p> |
| Public Forum | No comments, questions, or concerns.   |
| Adjourn      | Motion to adjourn at 8:35pm. Motion made by Brett LaRose, seconded by Matt Lathrop, motion to adjourn passed.  |
|              |  |
|              |  |